



*Brisbane*

TOWN PLANNING

(07) 3113 3261  
mail@brisbanetp.com.au  
www.brisbanetp.com.au  
PO Box 25, Grange, Qld 4051

30 June 2026

Development Assessment  
Brisbane City Council  
GPO Box 1434  
Brisbane Qld 4001

Dear Sir/Madam,

**RE: REQUEST TO CHANGE A DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL  
UNDER SECTION 81 OF THE PLANNING ACT AND TO EXTEND THE CURRENCY PERIOD  
UNDER SECTION 86 FOR APPLICATION NUMBER A006603907  
OVER 17 BURLINGTON STREET IN EAST BRISBANE**

In October 2024, we obtained approval on behalf of the owners to renovate and/or extend a heritage listed house.

During the detailed design phase, the decision was made to stage the works for cost reasons. Specifically, to keep the front verandah and the internal area of the front structure as is for now, and to focus on the balance works. Other small changes have been made to the materials, windows and doors through this process as well.

To formalise these changes, we are seeking a “*request to change an existing development approval*”.

To be considered under Section 81 of the Act, the amendments must fall within the scope of a “minor change”, as defined in Schedule 2 of the same document. In considering this request we note the following:

- (b)(i) states that the amended design must not be “substantially different” from the approved design. As shown on the proposed plans and in the information above, the modifications will not materially alter the external appearance of the development. Therefore, we conclude that the proposed changes do not represent a “substantially different” outcome.
- (b)(ii)(A) states that if the application were to be remade including the changes it should not include “prohibited development”. We confirm that the proposal does not constitute prohibited development.
- (b)(ii)(B), (C) and (D) states that if the application were to be remade including the changes it should not require referral to new or additional referral agencies. In response to this item it is noted that the original proposal did not trigger referral to any agencies, we confirm that this fact would not change if the application were to be remade.
- (b)(ii)(E) states that the change should not push the level of assessment from code to impact, triggering public notification where it was not previously required. It is also noted that the proposed design would not increase the level of assessment under the new scheme (being City Plan 2014) if it were to be remade.

Therefore, in light of the above we confirm that the proposed change constitutes a “minor change” as defined in Schedule 2 of the Act. As such this request can be processed as a changed application under section 81.

In addition to the above, this request is also seeking a 2-year extension to the currency period, to cover the expected duration of the works.

Should you have any queries regarding this request please do not hesitate to contact me on 3113 3261.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'P. Charles', written in a cursive style.

Peta Charles  
Principal Planner