



FW: Submission Objecting to Development Application A006920330 – 69 Landis Street, McDowall

From dalodgement <dalodgement@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

Date Fri 15/05/2026 12:24 PM

To CPEDS-DS-PlanningSupport <CPEDS-DS-PlanningSupport@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

Cc DA RECORDS <DA_RECORDS@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

SECURITY LABEL: OFFICIAL

Sent: Friday, 15 May 2026 12:16 PM

To: dalodgement <dalodgement@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

Cc: DA RECORDS <DA_RECORDS@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

Subject: Submission Objecting to Development Application A006920330 – 69 Landis Street, McDowall

This email originates from outside of Brisbane City Council.

Good afternoon,

Hope you are well,

After evaluating the proposed developed for the nine units on allotment accessed via a private easement driveway, I believe it is unreasonable for 9 units, 2 with three storeys, impacting the privacy of neighbours to be occupying the land, rather, less units would be more suitable. Below I have provided my reasoning on why I object, and I hope you take the time to understand and evaluate my concerns.

1. Unsuitable access arrangement

The proposal relies on a narrow private easement driveway that was not designed to accommodate the traffic generation associated with a 9-unit development, including residents, visitors, delivery vehicles, service vehicles and emergency access.

2. Traffic and safety on access

Increased vehicle movements along the shared accessway will create safety conflicts for pedestrians and neighbouring residents and increase risks associated with limited sight lines and manoeuvring areas.

3. Amenity impacts

The intensified use of the access driveway will generate unreasonable noise, headlights, disturbance and loss of privacy for adjoining properties.

4. Overdevelopment of a rear lot

The scale and intensity of 9 units on a battle-axe style site is inconsistent with the existing residential character and places excessive reliance on constrained access infrastructure.

5. Emergency and servicing concerns

Concerns exist regarding adequate access for fire appliances, waste collection and other servicing requirements within the constrained easement arrangement.

6. Infrastructure burden

The proposal may impose unreasonable wear, maintenance and operational impacts on shared access infrastructure originally intended for low-density residential use.

7. Increased burden on easement infrastructure and maintenance

I request Council to carefully assess the proposal, relating to multiple dwellings, access, servicing, traffic safety and neighbourhood amenity, and reconsider the application to something more suitable. Additionally, I hope the council is also able to empathise with my situation and understand my stance. I genuinely believe this proposed development is not suitable for the area and will significantly impact the quality of living

If you feel the need to contact me, you can via this email.