



FW: Application AOO6831322

From dalodgement <dalodgement@brisbane.qld.gov.au>
Date Wed 21/01/2026 8:55 AM
To CPEDS-DS-PlanningSupport <CPEDS-DS-PlanningSupport@brisbane.qld.gov.au>
Cc DA RECORDS <DA_RECORDS@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

SECURITY LABEL: OFFICIAL

Sent: Tuesday, 20 January 2026 6:39 PM
To: dalodgement <dalodgement@brisbane.qld.gov.au>
Cc: DA RECORDS <DA_RECORDS@brisbane.qld.gov.au>
Subject: Fwd: Application AOO6831322

This email originates from outside of Brisbane City Council.

Further to our previous, a structural engineer, . has expressed concern re any vibrations from the build causing problems . Also, importantly, the low level of the current building allows important light to come into units on the opposite side to the proposed build. With this light blocked life style will be affected. With the time frame of the proposed build being we would assume about 24 months, the value of units will decrease significantly during this period which will impact anyone having to sell for whatever reasons. .We therefore repeat our determination to OPPOSE this application.

Date: Sun, Jan 18, 2026 at 4:35 PM
Subject: Application AOO6831322
To: <dalodgement@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

Speaking for the residents of Admiralty Tower One 35 Howard St we are OPPOSED to this proposed development.
The plot is so narrow, is it even legal!
We believe the owner of this property has no respect for regulations as was evident when she had some work done on the original building.
We had scaffolding being put up at 5.30am, work being done late into the evening and weekend work.
We are under no illusions that any opposition from surrounding buildings will be ignored due to the name of the owner.

Perhaps stricter regulations could be enforced if/when this goes ahead so the surrounding RESIDENTIAL buildings are not massively impacted.
We understand it is zoned residential/commercial but the majority of Howard St is residential.
Please consider this our formal opposition to the project in principle.