



---

**FW: Ojection to proposed tower block at 457 Adelaide Street, Brisbane**

---

**From** dalodgement <dalodgement@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

**Date** Tue 3/02/2026 2:27 PM

**To** CPEDS-DS-PlanningSupport <CPEDS-DS-PlanningSupport@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

**Cc** DA RECORDS <DA\_RECORDS@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

 1 attachment (16 KB)

Objection to 457 Adelaide Street.docx;

A006831322

**SECURITY LABEL: OFFICIAL**

---

**Sent:** Tuesday, 3 February 2026 2:10 PM

**To:** dalodgement <dalodgement@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

**Cc:** DA RECORDS <DA\_RECORDS@brisbane.qld.gov.au>

**Subject:** re: Ojection to proposed tower block at 457 Adelaide Street, Brisbane

---

*This email originates from outside of Brisbane City Council.*

---

Dear Madame or Sir,

Please find attached my objection to the proposed re-development of 457 Adelaide Street, Brisbane.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Re: Objections to building application for 457 Adelaide Street, Brisbane

Dear Madame or Sir,

I wish to lodge my objection to the proposed re-development of the property at 457 Adelaide Street, Brisbane.

My objection to this proposal centres on four main points, each of which are outlined below.

1. The principal reason for the objection is that it is a departure from the local planning guidelines as stipulated under the Neighbourhood Plan. This guideline specifies that buildings with a frontage of less than 20 metres cannot be higher than 12 storeys. The proposition to construct a 36-storey block is clearly in contravention of this stipulation.
2. Should approval be given for this development, it will be perceived by the general community that those developers who have sufficiently deep pockets can achieve sanction irrespective of the regulations.
3. An additional 20 apartments will put a further strain on our already over-loaded infrastructure and utilities, as sewerage pump-out trucks are already a common sight in Howard Street. One could be forgiven for speculating that the water pipe works being undertaken in the street indicate that tacit approval has already been given to this application.
4. Although the site has no heritage overlay, the existing structure is delightful, and a much-admired block in the street. I believe, therefore, that for that fact alone it should be retained, as too many of our earlier buildings have already been lost. The current application is for the total demolition of this block; however, I understand that the developer has sympathetically renovated the Stewart and Hemmant building in Fortitude Valley. With a little consideration, the same could be done here. Far better to have a reputation for preserving than destroying.