



Job Reference: TP26/5070

19 February 2026

Brisbane City Council
Via online lodgement

RE: CHANGE APPLICATION (MINOR CHANGE) IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTIONS 78 AND 79 OF THE *PLANNING ACT 2016*

Application Reference: A006639685
Address of Site: 15 Lansbury Parade Ashgrove QLD 4060

To the assessing officer,

On behalf of our client, Hogg and Lamb, we seek to change the above-mentioned development approval by way of a change application (minor change) in accordance with Sections 78 and 79 of the *Planning Act 2016*. The original development approval was granted on the 12 December 2024

The proposed change involves amendment to the design of the raised garden and decking surrounding the existing pool and the changes are required due to client preference as well as to remove potential Operational Works triggers associated with filling outside the zone of influence of buildings on the site (filling the proposed raised garden area). The work location is highlighted on the submitted amended plans and sections have been provided to demonstrate that the raised garden bed is now proposed over suspended slab.

Please see attached the following items

- DA Form 5
- Original Approval – A006639685
- Proposed amended plans for review and approval

We therefore request the following actions as part of the Minor Change Application

Issue	Action
Minor plan amendments	Stamp as approved and include the submitted proposal plans as part of the approved development.

It is anticipated that no changes to any conditions will be required as part of this request.

The above changes are deemed to constitute a minor change, based on the following requirements laid out in Schedule 2 of the *Planning Act 2016*:

minor change means a change that:

(b) for a development application –

(i) would not result in substantially different development; and

Response: The changes will not result in a substantially different development as the proposal seeks a very similar built form outcome for the house extension.

(ii) if a development application for the development, including the change, were made when the change application is made would not cause—

(A) the inclusion of prohibited development in the application; or

Response: If a development application were to be made today, inclusive of the changes, it would not include prohibited development.

(B) referral to a referral agency, other than to the chief executive, if there were no referral agencies for the development application; or

Response: If a development application were to be made today, inclusive of the changes, it would not require a referral to any referral agency.

(C) referral to extra referral agencies, other than to the chief executive; or

Response: If a development application were to be made today, inclusive of the changes, it would not require a referral to any referral agency.

(D) a referral agency to assess the application against, or have regard to, matters prescribed by regulation under section 55(2), other than matters the referral agency must have assessed the application against, or have had regard to, when the application was made; or

Response: If a development application were to be made today, inclusive of the changes, it would not require a referral to any referral agency.

(E) public notification if public notification was not required for the development application.

Response: If a development application were to be made today, inclusive of the changes, it would not require public notification as per the original approval as the proposal would be Code assessable.

We thank you for your attention to this application and look forward to receiving approval of this application through the issuing of a revised development approval package, inclusive of the amended plans.

If you have any queries or questions relating to this application, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on 0403 383 063.

Yours faithfully,



Tony Hough

Senior Town Planner

Bartley Burns – Building Certifiers & Town Planners